



Order under Section 69 Residential Tenancies Act, 2006

Citation: Bukovac v Marple, 2026 ONLTB 43767

Date: 2026-06-04

File Number: LTB-L-031867-24

In the matter of: 11, 1321 Brant Street
Burlington ON L7P1X7

Between: Marko Bukovac Landlord

And

Joan Marple Tenant

Marko Bukovac (the 'Landlord') applied for an order to terminate the tenancy and evict Joan Marple (the 'Tenant') because the Landlord requires vacant possession of the rental unit in order to do major repairs or renovations to the unit.

This application was heard by videoconference on June 2, 2026.

The Landlord, the Landlord's legal representative, Kelly Hawkes, the Tenant and the Tenant's legal representative, Kavaine Mighty, attended the hearing.

Determinations:

1. This application was considered with eight other files relating to the same residential complex on the hearing date. A special all-day hearing block was scheduled to consider the applications. They were scheduled to be heard together by the Board when two of the files were adjourned on October 21, 2024. All of the associated files were filed on March 25, 2024. All of the N13 Notices of Termination are dated February 1, 2024 with a termination date of May 31, 2024. All of the files included a COS certifying that the N13 Notices of Termination were given to the Tenants by placing them under the respective rental unit doors on February 1, 2024. All of the files contained requests to amend which were filed on June 25, 2025. New Certificates of Service (COS) were also uploaded into each of the respective files in June of 2025. All of the COS's also indicate they were served in the same manner by placing them under the rental unit door except the service date changed to January 31, 2024.
2. The original COS submitted for this matter stated that the N13 Notice was given to the Tenant on February 1, 2024 by placing it under the rental unit door. The COS indicates that the Landlord served the notice, but the COS is signed by the Landlord's legal representative at that time.

3. On June 18, 2025 the Landlord's new legal representative uploaded a new COS. This COS states that the N13 Notice was given to the Tenant on January 31, 2024 in the same manner by placing it under the door. This COS is signed by the Landlord on June 11, 2025.
4. The Landlord testified that he served the N13 Notice on the Tenant on January 31, 2024. He was unsure of whether it was served personally on the Tenant on that date or whether it was slid under the door because he had also served other notices on the same date to the other units and can't recall because of how much time has passed.
5. The reason this is important is because this form is used to certify service of required documents on the Tenant. This, along with the Notice of Termination and evidence for compensation on an N13 are to be filed with the LTB at the time of the application filing pursuant to subsection 53(1) and 53(2) of *Ontario Regulation 516/06*.
6. The form further states that it is an offence under the *Residential Tenancies Act, 2006* (the 'Act') to file false or misleading information with the LTB. Subsection 197(2) of the Act states that the LTB may dismiss a proceeding without holding a hearing if the LTB finds that the applicant filed documents that the applicant knew or ought to have known contained false or misleading information.
7. While I accept that the Landlord is the drafter of the N13 Notice and I accept that the Tenant was served with the notice on January 31, 2024, I draw a negative inference to the credibility of the Landlord with respect to service of documents. They submitted a document to the LTB that certifies it was served by placing it under the door. If it was served personally, then the Landlord submitted a false document to the LTB. It is expected that the Landlord knew or ought to have known how this particular document was given to the Tenant when they certified the truth of the form and gave testimony at the hearing.

Was the required compensation paid?

8. A secondary preliminary issue arose with respect to whether or not the required compensation had been paid to the Tenant in accordance with section 55.1 of the Act.
9. This residential complex contains more than 5 residential units. On this basis, pursuant to 54 of the Act, the Landlord is required to compensate the Tenant up to three month's of rent or offer them another rental unit acceptable to the Tenant.
10. In accordance with section 55.1 of the Act, this compensation must be paid on or by the termination date in the N13 Notice. In this case by May 31, 2024.
11. In accordance with subsection 53(2) of *Ontario Regulation 516/06*, evidence that the landlord paid the necessary compensation required under section 54 should have been provided at the time the application was filed. Despite this requirement, no such evidence was provided. There were no cheque copies, no proof of payment and no COS's confirming payment of the required compensation.
12. At the hearing I permitted the Landlord to file their cheque copies that they submit were given to the Tenants on January 31, 2024. The matter was stood down briefly to allow the

Tenants time to view the cheques and for the parties to make submissions regarding the payment of them.

13. The Landlord testified that he gave the Tenant the compensation cheque on January 31, 2024 along with the N13 Notice. They submit that at the time it was given, it correctly notes the three months rent of compensation as required, and the cheque was for \$3,210.00 with a monthly rent of \$1,070.00.
14. The Landlord further testified that on February 1, 2024 that the Tenant returned the cheque to the Landlord in the Landlord's dropbox located at the building where tenants pay their monthly rent cheques. The Landlord submits that the Tenant refused to accept the cheque or cash it because they don't want to accept the termination notice. The Landlord led no further evidence of any further attempts to pay the required compensation although they admit they were aware the compensation is a requirement for proceeding with the application.
15. The Tenant disputes receiving the required three month's rent as compensation by the May 31, 2024 termination date in the N13 Notice. They deny ever receiving a cheque on January 31, 2024 from the Landlord and deny putting it back in the Landlords dropbox on this basis.
16. They submit that they only received a compensation cheque dated September 1, 2023 based on a previous N13 Notice that the Landlord gave them in May of 2023. The cheque they received was correct at that time of \$3,108.00 which was based on rent of \$1,036.00 at that time but they didn't want to cash the cheque because they wanted to dispute the Landlords N13 Notice and were told by friends that accepting the payment meant that they would be evicted. They did not return that cheque. Instead, approximately a year later they tore up the cheque and discarded it.
17. The Tenants assumptions regarding not cashing the cheque are incorrect. It does not mean that inevitably they will be evicted by accepting the compensation. The compensation is required to be given by the Landlord when issuing this form of notice to the Tenants. As an example, if at a hearing the Landlord's application were to be refused, then the LTB may order that the Tenants return the compensation paid. The compensation is one of the many statutory steps required in this type of application before the LTB. As is the case with any termination notice given by a landlord, the notice indicates that if they disagree with the notice, then the landlord may file an application to the LTB and that a hearing will be held where the Tenants can explain why they disagree with the notice.
18. After considering the testimony and evidence of all parties relating to the Landlords application regarding the compensation requirements, which was all the same on the Landlords part across all of the files, I am not satisfied that the required compensation was provided to the Tenant. I do not accept that the Landlord gave the compensation as they testified on January 31, 2024 or that the Tenant would have returned the cheque to the Landlord the following day. I say this also because I draw a negative inference regarding their service of the N13 Notice. I prefer the testimony of the Tenant that they were not given the required compensation.
19. Having found that the compensation is not paid, the application must be dismissed.

20. I have considered all of the evidence presented at the hearing and all of the oral testimony and although I may not have referred to each piece of evidence individually or referenced all of the testimony, I have considered it when making my determinations.

21. This order contains all of the reasons for the decision within it and no further reasons will follow.

It is ordered that:

1. The Landlord's application is dismissed.

June 4, 2026
Date Issued

Terri van Huisstede
Member, Landlord and Tenant Board

15 Grosvenor Street, Ground Floor
Toronto ON M7A 2G6

If you have any questions about this order, call 416-645-8080 or toll free at 1-888-332-3234.