



Order under Section 21.2 of the Statutory Powers Procedure Act and the Residential Tenancies Act, 2006

Citation: Janjic v Luci, 2026 ONLTB 42302

Date: 2026-05-27

File Number: LTB-L-048690-24-RV4

In the matter of: 1, 879 Main Street East
Hamilton ON L8M1M2

Between: Ned Janjic Landlord
Jaden Printing Services

And

Rachel Luci Tenant

Review Order

Janjic and Jaden Printing Services (the 'Landlord') applied for an order to terminate the tenancy and evict Rachel Luci (the 'Tenant') because:

- the Tenant, another occupant of the rental unit or a person the Tenant permitted in the residential complex has seriously impaired the safety of any person and the act or omission occurred in the residential complex.

The Landlord also claimed compensation for each day the Tenant remained in the unit after the termination date.

This application was ultimately resolved by order LTB-L-048690-24-RV3 issued on April 24, 2026.

On May 25, 2026, the Landlord Agent requested a review of the order.

A preliminary review of the review request was completed without a hearing.

Determinations:

1. The Landlord's request to review recounts the lengthy nature of these proceedings and voices their disagreement with the Member's final determinations.
2. Interpretation Guideline 8 - Review of an Order states:

A review is not an appeal or an opportunity to change the way a case was presented.

The purpose of the review process is not to provide parties with an opportunity of presenting a better or different case than they did at first instance. There is nothing in the record or in the request for review to support a determination that the Member applied improper principles in assessing the evidence introduced or that there was insufficient evidence before the Board to support its conclusions. I would not interfere with the assessment of the evidence by the Member of first instance, who had the opportunity of observing the witnesses and of hearing the evidence in its totality.

TSL-51694-14-IN-RV (Re), 2015 CanLII 23959 (ON LTB) at para 10

3. The Landlord's disagreement with the Member's determinations is not sufficient grounds for review. There is no indication that the Member applied improper principles in assessing the evidence introduced in this case or that there was insufficient evidence before the Board to support the Member's conclusions.
4. As a result, on the basis of the submissions made in the request, I am not satisfied that there is a serious error in the order or that a serious error occurred in the proceedings or that the Landlord was not reasonably able to participate in the proceeding.

It is ordered that:

1. The request to review order LTB-L-048690-24 issued on April 24, 2026 is denied. The order is confirmed and remains unchanged.

May 27, 2026
Date Issued

Richard Ferriss
Member, Landlord and Tenant Board

15 Grosvenor Street, Ground Floor
Toronto ON M7A 2G6

If you have any questions about this order, call 416-645-8080 or toll free at 1-888-332-3234.