



Order under Section 21.2 of the Statutory Powers Procedure Act and the Residential Tenancies Act, 2006

Citation: Shukla v Le, 2026 ONLTB 40886

Date: 2026-05-21

File Number: LTB-L-010945-26-RV

In the matter of: 3052 POSTRIDGE DR
OAKVILLE ON L6H0R6

Between: Shveta Shukla Landlord
Rakesh Shukla
Anjula Shukla

And

Bao (Bruce) Le Tenant

Review Order

Shveta Shukla, Rakesh Shukla and Anjula Shukla (the 'Landlord') applied for an order to terminate the tenancy and evict Bao (Bruce) Le (the 'Tenant') because the Tenant did not pay the rent that the Tenant owes.

This application was resolved by order LTB-L-010945-26 issued on April 29, 2026. A hearing was held on April 14, 2026 and the Tenant was not in attendance.

On May 21, 2026, the Tenant requested a review of the order.

A preliminary review of the review request was completed without a hearing.

Determinations:

1. I note, the Tenant's five page review request appears to be AI generated where the Tenant failed to fill in some of the blank information. Nevertheless, in the review request, the Tenant states, in part, the follow about their absence at the hearing:

I was unable to attend the hearing held on [HEARING DATE] due to serious personal circumstances that arose suddenly and were entirely beyond my control. Specifically, I was dealing with a serious personal medical situation. The situation arose unexpectedly, and I did not have the opportunity to notify the Board or seek an adjournment before the hearing took place. This was not a deliberate choice to avoid the proceeding — I take this matter very seriously and would have attended had my circumstances permitted.

2. On the basis of the submissions made in the request, I am not satisfied that the Tenant was not reasonably able to participate in the proceeding.
3. In *So v. Canadian Mental Health Association, Toronto Branch*, 2024 ONSC 3371 (Div. Ct.) (CanLII), the Divisional Court affirmed that a person who requests a review of an order must give “sufficient information to support a preliminary finding of an alleged serious error or an explanation why the requestor was not reasonably able to participate in the hearing”, per Rule 26.8 of the Board’s Rules of Procedure.
4. The Divisional Court in *Wang v. Oloo*, 2023 ONSC 1028 (‘Wang’) considered the information required from a doctor’s note to support a finding that an adjournment was necessary. While this case involves a request for review not an adjournment request, I still find *Wang* informative about the type of information required about a medical condition to support a finding that someone cannot participate in a hearing. The Court states that doctor’s notes must contain sufficient information to enable the Court to exercise its own judgment as to whether the adjournment should be granted. The Court elaborates that this at a minimum should include a description of a diagnosis and other criteria not directly relevant to this review request.
5. The submissions in the review request are vague. The Tenant states that he did not attend due to personal circumstances; specifically, a medical situation. No dates, times or details specific to the situation were provided. The hearing was scheduled in an afternoon block and based on the limited information provided by the Tenant, it is not clear that the Tenant could not have taken steps to attend the hearing, send someone to request an adjournment or notify the Board that the Tenant required an adjournment. The hearing proceeded virtually and could have been accessed with a telephone. The Tenant did not provide a doctor’s note to support that a medical emergency occurred, nor has the Tenant provided any of the information as being required by the Court in *Wang*.
6. With respect to the Tenant’s claims of serious errors in the order. It appears the Tenant challenges the rent and presents issues they intended to raise regarding maintenance and various claims of breaches. However, these issues were to be raised at the hearing. The Tenant failed to attend the hearing to address their issues. It therefore cannot be said that the order contains a serious error when the Tenant did not attend the hearing to raise the issues.
7. Based on the above, I conclude that the Tenant has not shown good cause to review the April 29, 2026 order. The Tenant did not prove that they were not reasonably able to participate at the hearing nor that the order contains a serious error by failing to make conclusions in their absence, relevant to their issues.
8. The Tenant’s review request is denied.

It is ordered that:

1. The request to review order LTB-L-010945-26 issued on April 29, 2026 is denied. The order is confirmed and remains unchanged.

May 21, 2026
Date Issued

Dana Wren
Member, Landlord and Tenant Board

15 Grosvenor Street, Ground Floor
Toronto ON M7A 2G6

If you have any questions about this order, call 416-645-8080 or toll free at 1-888-332-3234.