



Order under Section 31 Residential Tenancies Act, 2006

File Number: LTB-T-020969-25

In the matter of: 142, 195 DENISTOUN ST
WELLAND ON L3C6P1

Between: Jason Smith Tenant
Meaghan Mcardle

And

Sireg Management Sireg Management Landlord

Jason Smith and Meaghan Mcardle (the 'Tenant') applied for an order determining that Sireg Management Sireg Management (the 'Landlord'):

This application was heard by videoconference on March 31, 2026.

The Landlord's Agent Aamir Tharik and the Tenant's Legal Representative Amala Drijpal attended the hearing.

When the capitalized word "Tenant" is used in this order, it refers to all persons identified as a Tenant at the top of the order.

Determinations:

1. As explained below, the Tenant's T2 application is dismissed for lack of particulars.
2. The Tenant filed the T2 application with the Board on March 11, 2025. However, the Tenant filed a blank application that does not list any reason for filing the application and does not request any remedy.
3. In *Ball v Metro Capital Management Inc.* [2002] OJ No 5931 (Div Crt), the Divisional Court considered subsection 43(2) of the Act and found that the purpose of requiring that a landlord provide reasons and details in a notice was to: (a) allow the tenant to be in a position to know the case to be met; (b) allow the tenant to decide whether or not to dispute the allegations made by the landlord; and, in the case of a voidable notice, and (c) allow the tenant to stop the conduct or activity or correct the omission. Thus, to comply with subsection 43(2) of the Act, a notice should include the dates and times of the alleged conduct, together with a detailed description of the alleged conduct.

4. While Ball dealt with a notice of termination under s. 64 of the Act, I find that the test applies equally to tenant applications, including those brought under section 31, of the Act.
5. I find that the T2 application lacked the specific detailed information needed to put the Landlord on notice to know the case to be met in preparation of the hearing. The Landlord cannot be expected to respond to vague allegations on an application. It would be unfair to the Landlord to learn of the details for the first time at the hearing. Therefore, the application must be dismissed.

It is ordered that:

1. The Tenant's application is dismissed.

May 8, 2026
Date Issued

Kyle Anderson
Member, Landlord and Tenant Board

15 Grosvenor Street, Ground Floor
Toronto ON M7A 2G6

If you have any questions about this order, call 416-645-8080 or toll free at 1-888-332-3234.