



**Order under Section 21.2 of the
Statutory Powers Procedure Act and the
Residential Tenancies Act, 2006**

Citation: Mangat v Rafle, 2026 ONLTB 37005

Date: 2026-05-06

File Number: LTB-L-042572-25-RV

In the matter of: 3210, 20 Shore Breeze Dr
Etobicoke ON M8V0C7

Between: Narinder Singh Mangat Landlord

and

Deeqa Rafle Former Tenant

Review Order

Narinder Singh Mangat (the 'Landlord') applied for an order requiring Deeqa Rafle (the 'Former Tenant') to pay the Landlord's reasonable out-of-pocket costs that are the result of the Former Tenant's failure to pay utility costs they were required to pay under the terms of the tenancy agreement.

This application was resolved by order LTB-L-042572-25 issued on March 30, 2026.

On April 28, 2026, the Landlord requested a review of the order.

A preliminary review of the review request was completed without a hearing. In determining this request, I reviewed the materials in the LTB's file as well as the audio recording for this hearing.

Determinations:

1. On the basis of the submissions made in the request, I am not satisfied that there is a serious error in the order or that a serious error occurred in the proceedings.
2. The Landlord submits that a serious error occurred in the proceedings because the presiding Member did not permit the Landlord to fully explain their difficulties in effecting service of the application and notice of hearing on the Former Tenant.
3. I have listened to the hearing recording. The hearing recording confirms that the presiding Member did give the Landlord's Legal Representative an opportunity to make submissions on the adjournment request and specifically requested the Landlord's Representative to explain the circumstances surrounding why they were unable to serve the Former Tenant within the required time. Additionally, even after the presiding Member

orally communicated his decision, he permitted the Landlord's Legal Representative to make a further submission regarding the Certificate of Service. Therefore, I am not satisfied that the Landlord was not given the opportunity to make submissions on the issue.

4. Section 21 of the *Statutory Powers Procedure Act, 1990* (the 'SPPA') states that a hearing may be adjourned where it is shown to the satisfaction of the tribunal that an adjournment is required to permit an adequate hearing to be held.
5. It is apparent from the final order that the presiding Member correctly exercised their jurisdiction under section 21 of the SPPA by admitting and considering the parties' evidence and circumstances when denying the Landlord's Legal Representative's adjournment request. The Member considered the representative's explanation as to why they did not comply with the endorsement, and that they did not request an extension of time when they experienced challenges meeting the deadline set out in the endorsement. Although another Board Member may have exercised their discretion differently, the presiding Member's decision is entitled to deference.
6. For the reasons above, I am not satisfied that there is a serious error in the order or that a serious error occurred in the proceedings.

It is ordered that:

1. The request to review order LTB-L-042572-25 issued on March 30, 2026 is denied. The order is confirmed and remains unchanged.

May 6, 2026
Date Issued

Candace Aboussafy
Member, Landlord and Tenant Board

15 Grosvenor Street, Ground Floor
Toronto ON M7A 2G6

If you have any questions about this order, call 416-645-8080 or toll free at 1-888-332-3234.

