



## **Order under Section 21.2 of the Statutory Powers Procedure Act and the Residential Tenancies Act, 2006**

**Citation:** Nagpal v Moniz, 2026 ONLTB 31223

**Date:** 2026-04-15

**File Number:** LTB-L-086060-25-RV

**In the matter of:** Basement, 1 CEDARDALE AVE  
ST CATHARINES ON L2P1B1

**Between:** Manmeet Singh Nagpal Landlord

**And**

Elisabeth Moniz Tenant

### **Review Order**

Manmeet Singh Nagpal (the 'Landlord') applied for an order to terminate the tenancy and evict Elisabeth Moniz (the 'Tenant') because of rent arrears and substantial interference. The Landlord also claimed compensation for the use of the unit and compensation for substantial interference.

This application was resolved by order LTB-L-086060-25, issued on March 20, 2026.

On April 15, 2026, the Tenant requested a review of the order.

A preliminary review of the review request was completed without a hearing.

### **Reasons:**

1. On the basis of the submissions made in the request, I am not satisfied that there is a serious error in the order, that a serious error occurred in the proceedings, or that the Tenant was not reasonably able to participate in the proceeding.
2. The Tenant did not attend the hearing of the application, and the matter was decided based on the Landlord's evidence. The Member found that the Tenant had not paid the rent, and had substantially interfered with the Landlord's reasonable enjoyment. He ordered that the tenancy be terminated and that the Tenant pay the Landlord the rent owing and compensation for the substantial interference.
3. The Tenant's review request does not identify any error in the decision. The request alleges that the unit is an "illegal basement apartment" and that the Landlord has not met his obligations under the *Residential Tenancies Act, 2006* (RTA). Those facts, if true,

would not be relevant to the questions of whether the Tenant owed rent arrears or had substantially interfered with the Landlord's reasonable enjoyment. There is no error in the findings the Member made on the issues before him.

4. Further, if the Tenant had wished to present any evidence relevant to rent arrears and substantial interference, she should have attended the hearing. It was not an error for the Member to decide the case on the evidence adduced at the hearing.
5. The Tenant also says that she was not reasonably able to participate in the hearing. Her only submission on that point is that she "was not aware about the last court appearance." Her review request does not provide any particulars of why she was not aware of the hearing. The Landlord and Tenant Board (LTB) mailed the Notice of Hearing to the Tenant, and the review request does not say that she did not receive it.
6. Therefore, on the basis of the submissions in the review request, I am not satisfied that the Tenant was not reasonably able to participate in the hearing or that there is an error in the LTB's decision. The review will be denied.

**It is ordered that:**

1. The request to review order LTB-L-086060-25 issued on is denied. The order is confirmed and remains unchanged.

**April 15, 2026**  
**Date Issued**

---

**Dale Whitmore**  
Member, Landlord and Tenant Board

15 Grosvenor Street, Ground Floor  
Toronto ON M7A 2G6

If you have any questions about this order, call 416-645-8080 or toll free at 1-888-332-3234.

