



Order under Section 21.2 of the Statutory Powers Procedure Act and the Residential Tenancies Act, 2006

Citation: BHULLAR v HUMPHREYS, 2026 ONLTB 30986

Date: 2026-04-14

File Number: LTB-L-001965-26-RV

In the matter of: 1118 EDINBURGH DR
WOODSTOCK ON N4T0M2

Between: SANDEEP KAUR BHULLAR Landlord
GAGANDEEP SINGH

And

DANIEL JOHN HUMPHREYS Tenant
REBECCA REEVAS

Review Order

SANDEEP KAUR BHULLAR and GAGANDEEP SINGH (the 'Landlord') applied for an order to terminate the tenancy and evict DANIEL JOHN HUMPHREYS and REBECCA REEVAS (the 'Tenant') and for an order to have the Tenant pay the rent they owe because the Tenant failed to meet a condition specified in the order issued by the Board on December 17, 2025 with respect to application LTB-L-090016-25.

This application was resolved by order LTB-L-001965-26, issued on January 14, 2026. The Tenants moved to set aside the order. The motion was resolved by order LTB-L-001965-26-SA, issued on February 18, 2026.

On April 14, 2026, the Tenant Daniel Humphreys requested a review, and a request to extend the deadline to request the review.

The request to extend the deadline was considered without a hearing, and a preliminary review of the review request was completed without a hearing.

Reasons:

1. Order LTB-L-001965-26 terminated the tenancy and evict the Tenants because they had not made a rent payment they had been ordered to make. The Tenants moved to set the order aside. However, at the hearing they stated that they were not seeking to set aside the eviction. They only sought to stay the eviction until April 1, 2026.
2. In Order LTB-L-001965-26, the Landlord and Tenant Board (LTB) granted the Tenants' request, and ordered that the eviction order would be stayed until April 1, 2026.

3. The deadline to review the first order was February 13, 2026. The deadline to review the second order was March 20, 2026. On April 14, 2026, one of the Tenants requested a review. It is not clear which of the two orders he seeks to review, but either review would be out of time.

Extension of time request

4. The Tenant's request to extend the deadline to file a review is denied. The request does not address why the deadline should or should not be extended. It does not explain why the Tenant missed the deadline. Instead, it gives reasons why the Tenant does not want to be evicted. There is nothing in the request to support extending the review deadline.

Review

5. In the alternative, if I were to extend the deadline and consider the review, then on the basis of the submissions made in the review request, I would not be satisfied that there is a serious error in either order or that a serious error occurred in the proceedings.
6. The review request does not identify any error or potential error in either order. Instead, the request states that the Tenant does not think he should be evicted, because the Landlord has allegedly engaged in conduct contrary to the *Residential Tenancies Act, 2006* (RTA).
7. The Tenant could have made those arguments in the hearing of his set-aside motion. Instead, at the hearing he stated that he did not oppose eviction, and that all he was asking for was a delay of eviction until April 1. The Member granted that request.
8. Having been successful in his motion, there is no basis for the Tenant to now request a review seeking a different outcome. The purpose of a review is to determine whether a decision contains a serious error. The Member did not err by granting the Tenant's request to delay eviction until April 1, or by declining to set aside the eviction where the Tenant did not seek to set aside the eviction.
9. I would therefore deny the review.

It is ordered that:

1. The request to extend the deadlines to file requests to review orders LTB-L-001965-26 and LTB-L-001965-26-SA are denied.

April 14, 2026
Date Issued

Dale Whitmore
Member, Landlord and Tenant Board

15 Grosvenor Street, Ground Floor
Toronto ON M7A 2G6

If you have any questions about this order, call 416-645-8080 or toll free at 1-888-332-3234.