



Order under Section 21.2 of the Statutory Powers Procedure Act and the Residential Tenancies Act, 2006

File Number: LTB-L-097468-25-RV

In the matter of: 57 JUNE CALLWOOD WAY
BRANTFORD ON N3T0V2

Between: Simrandeep kainth Landlord

And

Kenton Basso Tenant
Simone Wishart

Review Order

Simrandeep kainth (the 'Landlord') applied for an order to terminate the tenancy and evict Kenton Basso and Simone Wishart (the 'Tenant') because the Tenant did not pay the rent that the Tenant owes.

This application was resolved by order LTB-L-097468-25 issued on March 2, 2026.

On March 26, 2026, the Tenant requested a review of the order.

A preliminary review of the review request was completed without a hearing.

Determinations:

1. The Tenant's review request (RTR) claims that Board order issued on March 2, 2026 (the "Order") contains a serious error.
2. In particular, the Tenant claims that the Member's decision to postpone the eviction approximately 1 month was unreasonable and states the member failed to take into account that the "*delinquency (in payments) was due to the lack of payments...*" from the Tenant's employer.
3. While I am certainly sympathetic to the Tenant's situation and search for alternative housing, the purpose of the review process is not to provide parties with an opportunity of relitigating the issues in the hopes of a better outcome. Although the Member did not specifically referenced the delinquency in payments by the Tenant's employer, administrative tribunals do not have to consider and comment upon every issue raised by the parties in their reasons (*Construction Labour Relations v. Driver Iron Inc.*, 2012 SCC 65, [2012] 3 S.C.R. 405, at para 3). The order need only provide sufficient reasons. In the circumstances, I find that it did. In this regard, the Order shows the member considered a broad range of relevant factors under section 83, including the Tenant's employment

situation, income/expenses, likelihood of abiding by a payment plan and family circumstances. Although another member may have exercised their discretion differently, the member's decision to postpone the eviction approximately 1 month falls within a reasonable range of outcomes. I therefore find that the Member's exercise of discretion was not capricious and is entitled to deference.

4. As I am not satisfied that there is a serious error in the Order or the proceedings, the RTR must be denied.

It is ordered that:

1. The request to review order LTB-L-097468-25 issued on March 2, 2026 is denied. The order is confirmed and remains unchanged.

March 27, 2026
Date Issued

Peter Nicholson
Member, Landlord and Tenant Board

15 Grosvenor Street, Ground Floor
Toronto ON M7A 2G6

If you have any questions about this order, call 416-645-8080 or toll free at 1-888-332-3234.