



Order under Section 21.2 of the Statutory Powers Procedure Act and the Residential Tenancies Act, 2006

Citation: Miller v Stavlic, 2026 ONLTB 25118

Date: 2026-03-24

File Number: LTB-T-084458-24-RV & LTB-T-012364-24-RV

In the matter of: 2, 493 COURT ST N
THUNDER BAY ON P7A4Y1

Between: Michele Miller Tenant
Clinton Miller

And

Nicholas Stavlic Landlord
Barbara Stavlic

Review Order

Michele Miller and Clinton Miller (the 'Tenant') applied for an order determining that Nicholas Stavlic and Barbara Stavlic (the 'Landlord'):

- collected or retained money illegally.
- entered the rental unit illegally.
- substantially interfered with the reasonable enjoyment of the rental unit or residential complex by the Tenant or by a member of their household.
- harassed, obstructed, coerced, threatened or interfered with the Tenant.

This application was resolved by order LTB-T-084458-24 & LTB-T-012364 issued on February 19, 2026.

On March 21, 2026, the Landlord requested a review of the order.

A preliminary review of the review request was completed without a hearing.

Determinations:

1. Although the Landlord's request to review alleges that the order contain serious errors and that they were not reasonably able to participate in the proceeding, the request to review is actually a complaint about their paralegal and an attempt to reargue the case.

2. Interpretation Guideline 8 - Review of an Order states:

A review is not an appeal or an opportunity to change the way a case was presented.

The purpose of the review process is not to provide parties with an opportunity of presenting a better or different case than they did at first instance. There is nothing in the record or in the request for review to support a determination that the Member applied improper principles in assessing the evidence introduced or that there was insufficient evidence before the Board to support its conclusions. I would not interfere with the assessment of the evidence by the Member of first instance, who had the opportunity of observing the witnesses and of hearing the evidence in its totality.

TSL-51694-14-IN-RV (Re), 2015 CanLII 23959 (ON LTB) at para 10

3. The Landlord's issues with how their paralegal conducted the case may be grounds for a complaint to the Law Society of Ontario, but they are not grounds for review. The Landlord's desire to introduce new evidence not submitted at the original hearing is an attempt to present a better or different case than at first instance and is contrary to the guideline.
4. There is no indication that the Member applied improper principles in assessing the evidence introduced in this case or that there was insufficient evidence before the Board to support the Member's conclusions.
5. As a result, on the basis of the submissions made in the request, I am not satisfied that there is a serious error in the order or that a serious error occurred in the proceedings or that the Landlord was not reasonably able to participate in the proceeding.

It is ordered that:

1. The request to review order LTB-T-012364-24 issued on February 19, 2026 is denied. The order is confirmed and remains unchanged.

March 24, 2026
Date Issued

Richard Ferriss
Member, Landlord and Tenant Board

15 Grosvenor Street, Ground Floor
Toronto ON M7A 2G6

If you have any questions about this order, call 416-645-8080 or toll free at 1-888-332-3234.