



Order under Section 21.2 of the Statutory Powers Procedure Act and the Residential Tenancies Act, 2006

Citation: Cheema v Mangat, 2026 ONLTB 25049

Date: 2026-03-23

File Number: LTB-L-075938-24-RV

In the matter of: 41 FOOTBRIDGE CRES
BRAMPTON ON L6R0K3

Between: Ravinder Cheema Landlord
Paramveer Khunkhun

And

Sandeep Mangat Former
Jaspreet Mangat Tenant

Review Order

Ravinder Cheema and Paramveer Khunkhun (the 'Landlord') applied for an order requiring Sandeep Mangat and Jaspreet Mangat (the 'Former Tenant') to pay the Landlord's reasonable out-of-pocket costs that are the result of the Former Tenant's failure to pay utility costs they were required to pay under the terms of the tenancy agreement.

This application was resolved by order LTB-L-075938-24 issued on February 26, 2026.

On March 20, 2026, the Tenant requested a review of the order.

A preliminary review of the review request was completed without a hearing.

Determinations:

1. The Tenant alleges that the order contains a serious error because the original lease expired and the Tenant did not agree to be responsible for the utilities after the expiration of the original lease.
2. I am not persuaded that the order contains a serious error in this regard as subsection 38(1) of the Act states that when a fixed term tenancy ends, it is automatically renewed as a monthly tenancy agreement containing **the same terms and conditions** that are in the expired tenancy agreement. As a result, the expiration of the original lease did not change the Tenant's responsibilities with respect to utilities.
3. The Tenant also alleges that they were not reasonably able to participate in the proceeding because of the time difference from where they were at the time of the

hearing. The hearing was scheduled for 1:00 PM. This would have been 10:30 PM at the Tenant's location. I am not persuaded that the Tenant was not reasonably able to participate in the proceeding because of this time difference.

4. The Tenant also alleges that they were not reasonably able to participate in the proceeding because their child was in the hospital on the hearing date, however the note appended to the request to review to support this allegation is from a clinic rather than a hospital and it states that the child had a viral infection and was prescribed rest. I am not persuaded that the Tenant was not able to participate in the proceeding for this reason.
5. As a result, on the basis of the submissions made in the request, I am not satisfied that there is a serious error in the order or that a serious error occurred in the proceedings or that the Tenant was not reasonably able to participate in the proceeding.

It is ordered that:

1. The request to review order LTB-L-075938-24 issued on February 26, 2026 is denied. The order is confirmed and remains unchanged.

March 23, 2026
Date Issued

Richard Ferriss
Member, Landlord and Tenant Board

15 Grosvenor Street, Ground Floor
Toronto ON M7A 2G6

If you have any questions about this order, call 416-645-8080 or toll free at 1-888-332-3234.