



Order under Section 21.2 of the Statutory Powers Procedure Act and the Residential Tenancies Act, 2006

Citation: Warner Place Senior Residence v Wolde-Yohammes, 2026 ONLTB 17784

Date: 2026-02-25

File Number: LTB-L-098385-25-RV

In the matter of: 405, 430 WILLIAM ST
LONDON ON N6B3N6

Between: Warner Place Senior Residence Landlord

And

Konjet Wolde-Yohammes Tenant

Review Order

Warner Place Senior Residence (the 'Landlord') applied for an order to terminate the tenancy and evict Konjet Wolde-Yohammes (the 'Tenant') because the Tenant did not pay the rent that the Tenant owes.

This application was resolved by order LTB-L-098385-25 issued on February 11, 2026.

On February 23, 2026, the Tenant requested a review of the order.

A preliminary review of the review request was completed without a hearing. In determining this request, I reviewed the materials in the LTB's file as well as the audio recording for this hearing.

Determinations:

1. The parties agreed to resolve the application with a consent order. The Tenant states the following in the review request:

2. On the basis of the submissions made in the request, I am not satisfied that there is a serious error in the order or that the Tenant was not reasonably able to participate in the proceeding.
3. In *Lacroix v. Central-McKinlay International Ltd.*, 2022 ONSC 2807, the Divisional Court affirmed, at paragraph 11, that “it is incumbent on a party [who claims they were incapable of participating in the hearing] to raise the issue with the tribunal, or for there to be a basis on which the claim of incapability is grounded objectively in events at the hearing”.
4. In *Sutton v. Patterson and Morrow*, 2021 ONSC 1403, the Divisional Court at paragraph 6 affirmed that a party who has a procedural fairness concern they are obligated to make their objections known, not wait until the appeal to raise their fairness concerns.
5. I have listened to the hearing recording. The Tenant attended the hearing and consented to the order that was issued. The order accurately reflects the terms agreed upon by the parties. The Tenant confirmed that they understood and agreed to the terms of the consent order. The mediator also notes that the parties had an opportunity to work together to go through the payment records to determine the accurate amount of arrears. The Tenant did not request an adjournment, any form of accommodation to assist in participating in the proceeding, or indicate that they did not understand because of a language barrier. Nothing in the hearing recording supports a finding that the Tenant did not understand what they were agreeing to or were not reasonably able to participate in the proceeding.

It is ordered that:

1. The request to review order LTB-L-098385-25 issued on February 11, 2026 is denied. The order is confirmed and remains unchanged.

February 25, 2026
Date Issued

Amanda Kovats
Member, Landlord and Tenant Board

15 Grosvenor Street, Ground Floor
Toronto ON M7A 2G6

If you have any questions about this order, call 416-645-8080 or toll free at 1-888-332-3234.