



Order under Section 21.2 of the Statutory Powers Procedure Act and the Residential Tenancies Act, 2006

File Number: LTB-L-091921-25-RV

In the matter of: 46 BERT TOURANGEAU ST
ARNPRIOR ON K7S0J7

Between: Campanale Management Landlord

And

RICHARD DAVIS Tenant
BRADLEY DAVIS

Review Order

Campanale Management (the 'Landlord') applied for an order to terminate the tenancy and evict RICHARD DAVIS and BRADLEY DAVIS (the 'Tenant') because the Tenant did not pay the rent that the Tenant owes.

The Landlord also claimed charges related to NSF cheques.

This application was resolved by order LTB-L-091921-25 issued on February 17, 2026.

On February 23, 2026, the Tenant requested a review of the order.

A preliminary review of the review request was completed without a hearing.

Determinations:

1. The Tenant states the following in the review request:
2. On the basis of the submissions made in the request, I am not satisfied that there is a serious error in the order.

3. In *So v. Canadian Mental Health Association, Toronto Branch*, 2024 ONSC 3371 (Div. Ct.) (CanLII), the Divisional Court affirmed that a person who requests a review of an order must give “sufficient information to support a preliminary finding of an alleged serious error or an explanation why the requestor was not reasonably able to participate in the hearing”, per Rule 26.8 of the Board’s Rules of Procedure.
4. The order reflects that the Tenant attended the hearing, was provided with an opportunity to give testimony, and that the Tenant’s testimony was considered by the Member in their order.
5. The Tenant’s submissions in the review are vague and do not provide sufficient information to support a preliminary finding that the order contains a serious error. The Tenant states that the order does not take into consideration some serious facts without explaining what those serious facts are. The Tenant also states that they were not able to articulate their case but does not explain what they were unable to say or how it might change the outcome of the order.
6. The review request also does not make clear for what reason the Tenant’s facts were not considered. Did the Tenant choose to not raise this information? The purpose of a review request is not to reargue an application that has been finally determined. Or did the Tenant attempt to raise the issues at the hearing but was denied? If the Tenant wishes to allege that the Board made a procedural error, they must make clear what that error is.
7. The Tenant mentions the Landlord not communicating with them and that notices were not sent directly to them. The review request provides no information about whether the Tenant raised this issue at the hearing. If the Tenant had a procedural fairness concern they were obligated to raise that at the hearing, not wait until a review to raise it for the first time. The Tenant also does not make clear what issues they were unable or unprepared to address at the hearing.

It is ordered that:

1. The request to review order LTB-L-091921-25 issued on February 17, 2026 is denied. The order is confirmed and remains unchanged.

February 24, 2026
Date Issued

Amanda Kovats
Member, Landlord and Tenant Board

15 Grosvenor Street, Ground Floor
Toronto ON M7A 2G6

If you have any questions about this order, call 416-645-8080 or toll free at 1-888-332-3234.